arXiv:1109.2579v1 [hep-th] 12 Sep 2011

Constructing conformally invariant equations using Weyl geometry

Sofiane Faci*
Université Paris Diderot-Paris 7,
Laboratoire APC-Astroparticule et Cosmologie (UMR-CNRS 7164)

(Dated: September 13, 2011)

A new method for the construction of conformally invariant equations in an arbitrary four dimensional (pseudo-) Riemannian space is presented. This method uses the Weyl geometry as a tool and exploits the natural conformal invariance we can build in the framework of this geometry. Indeed, working in a Weyl space, using the Weyl covariant derivative and the intrinsic Weylian geometrical tensors, all conformally homogeneous operators will be conformally invariant, as will the equations they determine. A Weyl space is defined by two independent objects: the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Weyl vector W_μ. A simple procedure allows us to go from a Weyl space into a Riemann space by imposing the Weyl vector to be a gradient. Under some conditions, the Weylian conformally invariant equations reduce to Riemannian conformally invariant equations. This method is applied to construct some conformally invariant scalar field equations, check the conformal invariance of Maxwell equations and recover the Eastwood-Singer conformal gauge fixing condition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conformal invariance is of great importance for several areas of theoretical physics and mathematics [1]. Our four dimensional universe is full of light and the light is conformal invariant. This fact was discovered a century ago by Cunningham [2] and Bateman [3] and is still nowadays under development [4–9]. It is worth noting since the very beginning that there are different meanings for conformal invariance [10]. The general definition states that any transformation which does preserve angles is a conformal transformation. We use in this paper two different but related conformal transformations: the gauge-Weyl and the rigid-Weyl (or simply Weyl) tranformations. The restricted conformal group $SO(2,4)$ action also preserves angles. It is a fifteen parameter group in a four-dimensional space. This group includes Poincaré and de Sitter groups and is a basic ingredient for the ADS/CFT correspondence [11]. An important point is given by Zumino's theorem which says that a Weyl invariant equation, when restricted to Minkowski space, becomes invariant under the action of the restricted conformal group [12].

The problem of constructing conformally invariant tensors, operators and the equations they determine plays a central role in quantum field theory. This problem attracts attention because it seems a non-trivial task and a lot of methods are proposed in the litterature. Among the different approaches, one can cite the Fefferman-Graham $D+2$ dimensional ambient space [15], Boulanger's method [16] and Tractor calculus technique [20]. Other methods appropriate to generate conformally invariant operators acting on a scalar field can be found in [17–19] . Another interesting way to generate conformally invariant equations is the so-called Ricci-gauging method and was introduced by Iorio et al. [14]. The authors start from Minkowski space with a global scale-invariant

---

*Electronic address: faci@apc.univ-paris7.fr

theory and extend it to reach a conformally invariant theory in an arbitrary Riemannian space.

We present in this article a new method which takes the opposite direction to that of Iorio et al.. We start from a more general geometry than the Riemannian one, namely the Weyl geometry where the conformal invariance is natural. We first write Weylian equations in a Weyl space which are naturally conformally invariant and then go down to a Riemann space and recover, under some conditions, conformally invariant Riemannian equations. The different notions used will become clear in what follows. The aim of our method is to provide a simple and systematic algorithm to construct conformally invariant operators and the equations they determine in a Riemann space.

In 1918, Herman Weyl introduced a new geometry in order to unify the gravitation and electromagnetic forces. Even if this goal was not reached, Weyl provided a simple generalization of the Riemannian geometry and gave a powerful and beautiful tool widely used in both mathematics and theoretical physics. A Weyl space is a conformal real manifold with a conformal equivalence class of local Lorentz metrics [22, 23]. There are two equally fundamental objects in Weyl geometry: the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Weyl vector field W_μ. One particularity of such geometry is that the lengths are not preserved by parallel transport, contrary to what happens in Riemann geometry. A Weyl space will be denoted by $[g, W]$ and a Riemann space by g. The notation $[g, W]$ means that a Weyl space is a conformal equivalent class of couples g, W. Contrary to Riemann geometry where two different g refers to two different Riemannian spaces. Now, the procedure to go from a Weyl space to a Riemann space reads as follows. When the Weyl vector is taken to be a gradient $W_\mu = \partial_\mu \ln K^2$, where K is a real and smooth function, the corresponding Weyl space equipped with the metric $[g_{\mu\nu}, \partial_\mu \ln K^2]$ is equivalent to a Riemann space $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = K^{-2} g_{\mu\nu}$. We use this property to translate conformal operators and equations written in a Weyl space into a Riemann space.

The general strategy of our method is the following. We use the Weyl intrinsic derivative and tensors mixed in a homogeneous (the different terms have the same conformal weight) combination to construct conformally invariant operators in a Weyl space. In general, these operators and the equations they determine will depend on the Weyl vector W_μ. The game consists in looking for combinations, if any, for which the Weyl vector decouples. Doing so, the remaining equation can be translated in a Riemann space and give a well defined Riemannian conformally invariant equation. If such a combination does not exist, the residual gradient W_μ breaks the conformal invariance. In this last situation and reducing to a Riemann space, one can reinterpret the presence of the residual Weyl vector as a mass term breaking the conformal invariance, in the same spirit as [21]. In order to check and confirm the method, some examples are discussed later in the text. Note that we are working in $D = 4$ spaces but the method should work for any dimension D.

This article is organized as follows. Sec. II provides the necessary background to understand the problem. It presents a brief introduction to Weyl geometry, recalls the definition of the different Weyl transformations and set out the procedure allowing to bridge Weyl and Riemann spaces. We explain in the same section how to write conformally invariant equations in a Weyl space and how the "Weyl-to-Riemann" method allows us to reduce these Weylian equations to get conformally invariant equations in a Riemann space. In Sec. III we explore some applications of the present method. First we study some operators acting on a scalar field and construct one, two and four order conformally invariant scalar equations. Second we turn to the vector field and inspect the conformal invariance of the Maxwell equation. Finally we recover in a simple way the third order

Eastwood-Singer conformally invariant gauge. The appendix gives some technical details. In particular, the reader can find the construction of the intrinsic geometrical tensors used in the present work.

II. WEYL GEOMETRY AND CONFORMAL INVARIANCE

We give in the first part of this section a brief description and some properties of the Weyl geometry. We set out the Weyl transformations and clarify the notion of a Weyl space defined as an equivalent class $[g, W]$. Then we recall the definitions of the conformal tensors and the Weyl covariant derivative. We explain how to write gauge-Weyl invariant operators and equations in a Weyl space. At the end, the Weyl-to-Riemann method is detailed and shows how to obtain conformal invariance in a Riemann space using gauge-Weyl invariance in a Weyl space. More technical details are given in appendix. We use a tilde ($\sim$) to indicate the Weylian objects and distinguish them from their Riemannian counterparts.

A. Weyl geometry and gauge transformation

The Weyl geometry has two equally and independant fundamental objects: the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the Weyl vector field W_μ when the Riemann geometry is completely characterized by $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} Riemann\ geometry:&\quad g_{\mu\nu} \\ Weyl\ geometry:&\ [g_{\mu\nu}, W_\mu]. \end{aligned}$$

We use the notation $[g, W]$ to indicate a Weyl space, which is a conformal equivalent class. This point will be clarified in the next subsection.

Let us turn to the Weyl transformations. In Riemann geometry, the standard rigid-Weyl transformation (or rescaling of the metric) is defined by

$$g_{\mu\nu} \to \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2\ g_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where Ω is a real and smooth function. But in Weyl geometry, the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ cannot be separated from the associated W_μ. The rescaling of the metric (1) must be accompanied by a gauge transformation of the Weyl vector. The gauge-Weyl transformations read

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} \\ W_\mu \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2\ g_{\mu\nu} \\ \bar{W}_\mu = W_\mu + \Omega_\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

where $\Omega_\mu = \partial_\mu ln\Omega^2$. We call (2) gauge-Weyl transformation to avoid confusion with the rigid-Weyl transformation (1).

Note that, from a historical point of view, it was Hermann Weyl who introduced the terminology of "gauge trasformations", which turned out to be a rich and fundamental concept for both physics and mathematics. In his foundamental theory, H. Weyl wanted to unify the gravitation and the electromagnetic forces and interpreted the vector W_μ as the Maxwell field. We will comeback to this point hereafter.

B. The Weyl connection

A Weyl space is equiped with a unique symmetric, torsion free and linear connection called Weyl connection and defined by [22]

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual Christoffel connection

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\tau} (g_{\tau\mu,\nu} + g_{\tau\nu,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\tau}) \tag{4}$$

and the second symmetric term reads

$$W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^{\lambda}_{\mu} W_{\nu} + \delta^{\lambda}_{\nu} W_{\mu} - g_{\mu\nu} W^{\lambda}). \tag{5}$$

Some properties of $W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ are given in appendix. A capital property of the Weyl connection is its invariance under gauge-Weyl transormations (2)

$$\tilde{\Gamma} \to \bar{\tilde{\Gamma}} = \tilde{\Gamma} \tag{6}$$

And this is the crux of the formalism exposed here. In Weyl geometry, all spaces related by gauge-Weyl tranformations belong to the same equivalence class denoted by $[g, W]$. Gauge-Weyl transformations thus become internal transformations and accordingly the conformal invariance gets trivially satisfied. The notation $[g, W]$ for a Weyl space means that in Weyl geometry, every two configurations (g, W) and $(\bar{g}, \bar{W})$ related by the transformation (2) are in fact just two representatives of the same conformal equivalence class $[g, W]$ defining a Weyl space [10, 22, 23].

C. Lengths in a Weyl space

In Riemann geometry, we define the standard covariant derivative using the Christoffel connection

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} &= \partial_{\mu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\tau} T^{\tau\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \ldots \\ &- \Gamma^{\tau}_{\mu\nu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\tau\ldots} - \ldots , \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

which is metric compatible

$$\nabla_{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{8}$$

In Weyl geometry like in Riemann geometry, a “connection” covariant derivative can be defined using the Weyl connection (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} &= \partial_{\mu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu\tau} T^{\tau\ldots}_{\nu\ldots} + \ldots \\ &- \tilde{\Gamma}^{\tau}_{\mu\nu} T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\tau\ldots} - \ldots . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

A direct calculation shows that this covariant derivative is not anymore compatible with the metric tensor

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu} g_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\mu} g_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0. \tag{10}$$

This fact has an important consequence: the lengths are not preserved by parallel transport in a Weyl space and that what Herman Weyl was searching for, [1, 25]. In Weyl geometry, a length is not a fundamental or intrinsic concept, only angles and then ratios of lengths are meaningful.

To better understand this point, let V be the length of the vector V^μ, ie. $V = (g_{\mu\nu}V^\mu V^\nu)^{1/2}$. When V^μ is parallel transported from x^μ to $x^\mu + \delta x^\mu$, the length's variation in a Weyl space is given by [1, 23]

$$\delta V = V W_\mu \delta x^\mu \tag{11}$$

when it is vanishing in a Riemann space. As a consequence the length of a vector varies in a Weyl space and depends on its trajectory and its history. A more surprising feature is the length changing when V^μ is transported around a closed loop Σ [1, 29]

$$\Delta V = \int_{S(\Sigma)} V W_{\mu\nu} dS^{\mu\nu} \tag{12}$$

where $dS^{\mu\nu}$ is an area element of the area $S(\Sigma)$ inclosed by the loop Σ. The anti-symmetric tensor $W_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu W_\nu - \partial_\nu W_\mu$ (B4) vanishes in a Riemann space but not in a Weyl space.

This was Einstein's main objection to Weyl's theory (in the unification of gravity and electromagnetism perspective). Indeed, (12) implies that in the presence of electromagnetic fields ($W_{\mu\nu}$ was interpreted as the Faraday tensor in Weyl's foundamental theory), two identical clocks could run differently after one of them was moved around on a closed path [1]. Our aim in the present work is not to discuss the physical nature of such a geometry. We are only interested in using Weyl geometry as a tool providing a simple and systematic way to write conformally invariant operators and equations in a Riemann space. We do not use at all the Weyl's original association between the Weyl vector W_μ and the electromagnetic field A_μ.

D. From Weyl to Riemann

Now, let us see how to go from a Weyl space to a Riemann space. Starting with a Weyl space $[g, W]$, it is evident that the geometry is in fact Riemannian when $W_\mu = 0$. But to get a Rieman space it is sufficient to impose W_μ to be a gradient [10]

$$W_\mu = \partial_\mu \ln K^2, \tag{13}$$

where K is a real and smooth function. In this case, the Weyl connection (3) reduces to

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \tag{14}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is the Christoffel connection (4) related to the metric tensor $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = K^{-2} g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus the Weyl space $[g, W = d\ln K^2]$ (called WIS for Weyl Integrable Space in this case) becomes equivalent to a Riemannian space equipped with the metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. In fact, using the covariant derivative $\bar{\nabla}$ associated to the Christoffel connection $\bar{\Gamma}$, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\nabla}_\mu \, \bar{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \tag{15}$$

This property is fundamental for our method. In practice, as we will see in Sec. II H, we do not explicitly use (13) or equivalently (15). Nevertheless, it is primordial to recognize that a WIS is in fact a Riemann space and no longer a Weyl space. Note that some authors use the WIS in a different way [27, 28, 30].

E. Conformal tensors in Riemann and Weyl spaces

In both Weyl and Riemann geometries, under diffeomorphisms (or general coordinates transformations)

$$x^{\mu} \to x'^{\mu'} /\ dx'^{\mu'} = \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}, \tag{16}$$

a tensor field T of a rank (r, s) transforms like

$$T^{\nu_1,\ldots\nu_s}_{\mu_1,\ldots\mu_r}(x) \to \Lambda^{\mu'_1}_{\mu_1} \ldots \Lambda^{\mu'_r}_{\mu_r}\ \Lambda^{\nu_1}_{\nu'_1} \ldots \Lambda^{\nu_r}_{\nu'_r}\ T^{\nu'_1,\ldots\nu'_s}_{\mu'_1,\ldots\mu'_r}(x') \tag{17}$$

Still in both geometries, we can enrich the tensor structure by giving it a conformal dimension. The tensor field T then transforms, under (1) in Riemann geometry and under (2) in Weyl geometry, as follows

$$T^{\nu_1,\ldots\nu_s}_{\mu_1,\ldots\mu_r}(x) \to \bar{T}^{\nu_1,\ldots\nu_s}_{\mu_1,\ldots\mu_r}(x) = \Omega^{w(T)}\ T^{\nu_1,\ldots\nu_s}_{\mu_1,\ldots\mu_r}(x) \tag{18}$$

where $w(T)$ is called the conformal weight (sometimes called the dimension) of the tensor field T. Note that we have used the convention $w(g) = 2$ for the metric tensor weight. An important property of the conformal weights is the following relation: for two tensors T, T', the conformal weight of the product is given by

$$w(T.T') = w(T) + w(T'). \tag{19}$$

This implies the useful identity $w(T^{-1}) = -w(T)$ which applied to the metric tensor gives the standard result

$$w(g^{\mu\nu}) = -w(g_{\mu\nu}) = -2. \tag{20}$$

Now, in addition to invariance under diffeomorphisms (16), an equation can be invariant under Weyl transformations. The conformal invariance in our context means invariance under the rigid-Weyl transformation (1) in Riemann geometry but means invariance under the gauge-Weyl transformation (2) in Weyl geometry. Indeed, an equation $\mathcal{E}T = 0$ is said to be conformally invariant if, under (1) in a Riemann space or under (2) in a Weyl space, there exist s such that

$$\mathcal{E}T \quad \to \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}\bar{T} = \Omega^{s}\mathcal{E}T, \tag{21}$$

where $s = w(\mathcal{E}T) = w(\mathcal{E}) + w(T)$ is the conformal weight of $\mathcal{E}T$. Note that the notation $w(\mathcal{E})$ makes sense only if $\mathcal{E}$ is a conformally invariant differential operator.

Remark: in a Riemann space, some operators and the equations they determine can be conformally invariant but certainly not of them. The present work deals precisely with the difficulty of constructing such Riemannian conformally invariant operators. Our method uses the Weyl geometry as a tool and here is the reason. In a Weyl space, using the intrinsic Weyl tensors (Sec. B) and the Weyl covariant derivative (see the next subsection), "everything" we write in a Weyl space will be conformally invariant. So, let us recall the Weyl covariant derivative before giving some examples of conformally invariant equations in a Weyl space.

F. The Weyl covariant derivative

In order to write Weyl invariant equations in a simple and compact form, the Weyl covariant derivative D_μ is defined through [30]

$$D_\mu T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} = \tilde{\nabla}_\mu \, T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} - \frac{w(T)}{2} \, W_\mu T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} \tag{22}$$

where the 2 in the denominator comes from the conventional metric tensor weight $w(g_{\mu\nu}) = 2$. The Weyl covariant derivative can be developed using the covariant derivative (7) and takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} \; &= \; \nabla_\mu \, T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} + W^{\lambda}_{\mu\beta} \, T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\lambda\ldots} + \ldots \\ &- \; W^{\alpha}_{\mu\lambda} \, T^{\lambda\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} - \ldots - \tfrac{w(T)}{2} \, W_\mu T^{\alpha\ldots}_{\beta\ldots} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

An important and direct consequence (actually, it was more a goal than a consequence) of the Weyl covariant derivative is its compatibility with the metric tensor

$$D_\mu \, g_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{24}$$

Another important feature of the Weyl covariant derivative is its conformal invariance. The relation (6) implies that D_μ is invariant under the gauge-Weyl tranformations (2)

$$D_\mu T \to \bar{D}_\mu \bar{T} = \Omega^{w(T)} D_\mu T \tag{25}$$

In other words, the Weyl covariant derivative has a vanishing conformal weight. So for any conformal tensor field T

$$w(D_\mu T) = w(D_\mu) + w(T) = w(T) \tag{26}$$

Note that the Weyl derivative is doubly covariant: according to general coordinate and to gauge-Weyl transformations. Moreover, it is linear and verifies Leibniz rule.

G. Conformally invariant equations in a Weyl space

Let see with some examples how "everything" we write in a Weyl space, using the Weyl covariant derivative and the Weyl intrinsic tensors, will be conformally invariant. One can write the simplest equation for an arbitrary conformal tensor T of weight $w = w(T)$

$$D_\mu \, T = 0. \tag{27}$$

This equation is naturally conformally invariant since under gauge-Weyl transformation (2), using (26), it transforms like

$$D_\mu \, T \quad \to \quad \bar{D}_\mu \, \bar{T} = \Omega^w \; D_\mu \, T. \tag{28}$$

Another example is given by the second-order equation

$$D_\mu D_\nu T = 0, \tag{29}$$

which is again naturally conformally invariant since under (2), it transforms like

$$D_\mu D_\nu T \quad \to \quad \bar{D}_\mu \bar{D}_\nu \bar{T} = \Omega^w D_\mu D_\nu T. \tag{30}$$

The contraction of the previous equation, namely

$$D^2 T = g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu D_\nu T = 0, \tag{31}$$

is also conformally invariant since

$$D^2 T \quad \to \quad \bar{D}^2 \bar{T} = \Omega^{w-2} D^2 T, \tag{32}$$

where we have used the identity $w(D^2 T) = w(D^2) + w(T) = w(g^{\mu\nu}) + w(D_\mu) + w(D_\nu) + w = -2 + 0 + 0 + w = w - 2$. And so on, all expressions with a single differential term are conformally invariant. If there are more than one terms in the equation, they must have the same conformal weight to get conformal invariance for the whole equation. But we can write expressions with both differential operators and the intrinsic geometrical tensors. A priori, we have to start with the most general expression combining all possible intrinsic and independent geometrical tensors. Modifying the above example (29), the most general second order equation one can write must include the Weyl intrinsic geometrical tensors (Appx. B), it reads

$$(D_\mu D_\nu + \alpha \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \beta \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} + \gamma \tilde{P}_{\mu\nu})\, T = 0 \tag{33}$$

where α, β and γ are constant coefficients and the Weyl tensors $R_{\mu\nu}, W_{\mu\nu}, P_{\mu\nu}$ are defined in (B4), (B5) and (B10). This equation is abviously conformally (gauge-Weyl) invariant, since each part is invariant on its own and all parts have the same vanishing conformal weight.

H. The Weyl-to-Riemann method

The "Weyl-to-Riemann" method reduces conformally invariant operators and equations from a Weyl space to get conformally invariant operators and equations in a Riemann space. The game consist of writing naturally conformally (gauge-Weyl) invariant equations in a Weyl space [g,W] and then reduce them into a Riemann space by specifying W as a gradient. The expressions obtained in the Riemann space present two cases:

1. The Weyl vector decouples and then the Riemannian conformal invariance is recovered. Indeed, when the Weyl vector decouples, imposing $W_\mu = \partial_\mu \ln K^2$ becomes invisible and trivial. This is the situation we are looking for.

2. The expressions still depend on the reminiscent Weyl vector and then, in general, are not conformally (rigid-Weyl) invariant. In this situation, we can interpret the residual Weyl vector as a mass term breaking the conformal invariance. Nevertheless, in some situations the Weyl vector does not decouples in a Weyl space but cancels when it has a gradient form, ie. when reducing to a Riemann space. In other situations, like for the Maxwell field case (Sec. III B 2), extra constraints (like the Maxwel equation) might cancel the W_μ dependence and then recover the conformal invariance.

In practice, we have to look for combinations of the coefficients of the differents tensors present in a Weyl equation in order to cancel the Weyl vector W_μ. Gauge-Weyl transformations then become equivalent to rigid-Weyl transformation. In this case, the conformal invariance in a Weyl space implies the conformal invariance in the corresponding Riemann space.

The Weyl-to-Riemann method provides a systematic and simple algorithm to find conformally invariant equations in an arbitrary Riemann space. We will see in the next section how to apply this method in the case of scalar and vector fields.

III. SOME APPLICATIONS

We display in this section how to use the Weyl-to-Riemann method for some simple examples: the scalar and the vector fields.

A. Applications for the conformal scalar field

Let us consider a scalar field ϕ in a Weyl space and write the first order equation

$$D_\mu \phi = 0 \tag{34}$$

which, using (23), simplifies to

$$D_\mu \, \phi = (\nabla_\mu - \frac{w}{2} W_\nu) \, \phi = 0, \tag{35}$$

where $w = w(\phi)$ is the conformal weight of the field ϕ. The Weyl vector decouples when $w = 0$ and the resulting Riemannian equation

$$\nabla_\mu \phi = 0 \tag{36}$$

is then conformally invariant for a conformal scalar field with a vanishing conformal weight.

Now, let us write the general second order equation, obtained from the contraction of (33) with an arbitrary $g^{\mu\nu}$ tensor, acting on a scalar field. The resulting gauge-Weyl invariant equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 \phi &= g^{\mu\nu} (D_\mu D_\nu + \alpha \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \beta \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} + \gamma \tilde{P}_{\mu\nu}) \, \phi \\ &= (D^2 + \delta \tilde{R}) \, \phi \\ &= \Big(\Box + \delta R - 3(\frac{w}{6} + \delta) f(W) \\ &\qquad\qquad - (1+w)(W.\nabla - \frac{w}{4} W^2) \Big) \, \phi \\ &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $\Box = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$, $\delta = \alpha + \gamma/6$ and $f(W) = (\nabla.W - \frac{1}{2} W^2)$. Note that we have used the identity (B7) to rewrite the equation above with the Riemannian geometrical tensors. We have also used the relations (26) and (A3) to develop the above equation. Now, we can eliminate the W-dependance from the above equation iff

$$\begin{aligned} \delta + \frac{w}{6} &= 0 \\ 1 + w &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

This system has a unique solution ($w = -1,\ \delta = \frac{1}{6}$). The Weyl vector then cancels and the equation (37) reduces to

$$(\Box + \frac{1}{6}R)\phi = 0. \tag{39}$$

This is the standard (massless) conformally invariant scalar field equation in a Riemannian space, even though we are still in a Weyl space. That means that for $\delta = 1/6$ and a conformal weight $w = -1$ the gauge-Weyl invariant scalar equation in Weyl space takes the form of the rigid-Weyl invariant scalar field in a Riemann space.

Now, fixing $w = -1$, otherwise the unwanted first derivative term $W.\nabla$ remains in the equation, and relaxing the constraint on δ, the Weyl vector does not decouple and remains in the equation (which still gauge-Weyl invariant). Setting $\delta = 1/6 + \theta/6$ with $\theta \neq 0$, the equation (37) reduces to

$$\left(\Box + \frac{R}{6} + \frac{\theta}{6}[R - 3f(W)]\right)\phi = 0, \tag{40}$$

which is invariant under gauge-Weyl transformations but not under the rigid-Weyl transformation alone (since the Weyl vector does not decouple). So we do not obtain a conformally invariant equation when going into a Riemann space (by imposing $W_\mu = \partial_\mu lnK^2$). Instead, we set $m^2 = \frac{\theta}{6}[R - 3f(W)]$ and we rewrite the previous equation under the form

$$\left(\Box + \frac{R}{6} + m^2\right)\phi = 0, \tag{41}$$

where m can be interpreted as a mass term breaking the conformal invariance. The field ϕ reduces thus to a massive scalar field in a Riemannian space.

Let us now consider a fourth order conformally invariant scalar equation in a Weyl space given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4\phi = D_\mu\left(D^\mu D^\nu + \tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}\right)D_\nu\,\phi = 0, \tag{42}$$

where we have used the Eastwood-Singer tensor $\tilde{S}^{\mu\nu}$ given in appendix (B11). A straightforward calculation shows that, for a vanishing conformal weight $w = 0$ and a Weyl vector verifying the free Maxwell equation (ie. $\nabla_\mu W^{\mu\nu} = 0$), the above equation reduces to the Eastwood-Singer fourth order conformally invariant scalar equation.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_4\phi = \nabla_\mu\left(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu + S^{\mu\nu}\right)\nabla_\nu\,\phi = 0, \tag{43}$$

with $S^{\mu\nu} = -2R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{3}Rg^{\mu\nu}$ being the Riemannian Eastwood-Singer tensor. Now, we go into a Riemann space by specifying that W_μ is a gradient (13). The Weyl vector is then a “pure gauge” verifying identically the Maxwell equation. As a consequence, we get the above conformally invariant fourth order equation but in a Riemann space. This equation, though in a different way, was found by Eastwood and Singer [13].

Higher order derivatives can be explored using the present method. In that case, we have to use all the intrinsic Weylian tensors including (B3). Nevertheless the steps to get conformal invariance in a Riemann space are the same as for the situations exposed above.

B. Applications for the Maxwell field

Let's now turn to the Maxwel field. We are going to see that in fact the well known conformal invariance of Maxwell equation is already present at a Weyl space level. We are also looking for a conformally invariant gauge fixing condition which is indeed important for the conformally covariant quantization of the Maxwell field [7]. A conformally invariant gauge condition can also simplify the treatement of some classical electromagnetic problems [8].

1. Maxwell equations

The antisymmetric Weylian Faraday trensor $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$, related to the one-form A_μ of weight w, is defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} &= D_\mu A_\nu - D_\nu A_\mu \\ &= F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{w}{2}(W_\mu A_\nu - W_\nu A_\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu A_\nu - \nabla_\nu A_\mu$ is the standard Riemannian Faraday tensor. The Weyl Maxwell equation in term of the Riemannian covariant derivative and tensors reads

$$\begin{aligned} D^\mu \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{w}{2}\Big(&W^\mu F_{\mu\nu} \\ &+ (\nabla^\mu - \frac{w}{2}W^\mu)(W_\mu A_\nu - W_\nu A_\mu)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

For $w = 0$, the Weyl vector cancels from the Weylian Maxwell equations. Thus one can obtain a perfectly conformally invariant Maxwell equation with the usual vanishing conformal weight in a Riemann space. Indeed, specifying W_μ as a gradient, to reduce into a Riemann space, becomes invisible and trivial.

2. Conformal gauge fixing conditions

We use the "Weyl-to-Riemann" method to construct some conformally invariant gauge fixing conditions for the Maxwell field A_μ. The conformal weight thus has to be fixed to $w = 0$. In a Weyl space, we look for $(0, 0)$ type (scalar) operators and the equations they determine by mixing the Weyl covariant derivative D_μ and the Weylian intrinsic geometrical tensors.

The first equation is a first order equation which can be seen as the Weylian Lorenz gauge

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_1 A &= g^{\mu\nu} D_\mu A_\nu \\ &= g^{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\mu - (1 + \frac{w}{2})W_\mu)A_\nu \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

The Weyl vector decouples for $w = -2$ but this value is not allowed since the right conformal weight for Maxwell field is $w = 0$. The resulting Riemannian gauge fixing equation is not conformally invariant. Thus we can conclude that there is no first order conformally invariant gauge fixing condition for the Maxwell field.

We are now looking for a third order differential equation. Let the tensor

$$\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = \alpha \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \beta \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} + \gamma \tilde{S}_{\mu\nu} \tag{47}$$

be an arbitrary combination of the Weylian intrinsic geometrical tensors R, W and S, then $w(\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}) = 0$. We used the Weyl Eastwood-Singer tensor $\tilde{S}_{\mu\nu}$ instead of $\tilde{P}_{\mu\nu}$ (the two tensors are linearly dependent) in order to simplify the coming discussion. We can write the general gauge-Weyl invariant third order equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_3 A = g^{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \Big(& D_\mu D_\nu D_\alpha + \lambda_1 \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} D_\alpha \\ & + \lambda_2 D_\mu \tilde{T}_{\nu\alpha} \Big) A_\beta \\ = 0 & \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are some constant coefficients, we then have five parameters in total. We are looking for a combination of these coefficients leading to the cancellation of the Weyl vector from the above equation.

Let's analyse the simplest configuration: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. The above equation does not involve any intrinsic Weyl tensors and reduces then to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_3\, A &= D^2\, D A \\ &= \nabla^\mu (\nabla_\mu + W_\mu)\, (\nabla^\nu - W^\nu)\, A_\nu \\ &= G_{ES} A - W^\nu \nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where the Eastwood-Singer gauge operator is given by [13]

$$G_{ES} A = \nabla_\mu (\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu + S^{\mu\nu}) A_\nu . \tag{50}$$

Note that this equation reduces to the fourth order conformally invariant equation for a scalalr field ϕ (43) when specifying the Maxwell field as a pure gauge $A_\nu = \nabla_\nu \phi$.

We have an interesting situation since the equation (49) contains W_μ and then cannot be rigid-Weyl invariant in general. However, when A_μ verifies the Maxwell equation ($\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0$) then the Weyl vector decouples and then the equation (49) reduces to the Eastwood-Singer gauge

$$\tilde{G}_3\, A = G_{ES} A = 0 \tag{51}$$

and provides then a conformally invariant gauge fixing condition. Indeed, the Eastwood-Singer gauge is conformally invariant only onshell (ie. when acting on the Maxwell equation solutions) [13]. Note that the gauge (51) was used in [7, 9] for the conformally covariant quantization of the Maxwell field on conformally flat spaces including de Sitter space.

The general case with different configurations where the Weyl geometrical tensors are present and higher order gauge conditions can be analysed using the present method.

IV. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOKS

A new tool for the construction of conformally invariant equations was presented. This new method makes use of the Weyl geometry, a simple generalization of Riemann geometry and based on two equally important objects: the metric tensor and the Weyl vector. The procedure to go from a Weyl space to a Riemann space relies on imposing the Weyl vector to be a gradient.

Using the Weyl covariant derivative and the intrinsic Weyl geometrical tensors in a homogeneous way, writing conformally invariant equations in a Weyl space becomes a trivial procedure. But an infinite number of such equations exist and not all have a conformally invariant correspondant once reducing to a Riemann space. Indeed, the present method can be summarized as follows. If it is possible to cancel the Weyl vector dependence in a Weyl space, then the equation obtained is rigid-Weyl invariant and completely independent from the Weyl vector. Going down to a Riemann space becomes trivial and the resulting Riemannian equation is conformally invariant. If the Weyl vector does not cancel then three cases can appear. In the first case, the Weyl vector decouples when going to a Riemann space, ie. when the Weyl vector is a gradient. The Riemannian equation obtained is then conformally invariant. In the second case, an extra constraint can be imposed to cancel the Weyl vector and the conformal invariance is recovered in accordance with a constraint imposed. In the third case, there is no way to get rid of the Weyl vector and the conformal invariance is lost when going to a Riemann space. The residual Weyl vector produce a term which can be interpreted as a mass term breaking the conformal invariance.

The Weyl-to-Riemann method provides a simple, systematic and convenient technique for the determination of conformally invariant equations. Some examples were exhibited dealing with scalar and vector fields. Even though the Weyl-to-Riemann showed its usability, more complicated configurations, like higher spin equations and higher order differential operators remain to be explored.

Appendix A: Some properties of $W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$

Here are some properties of the symmetric $W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ defined in (5). We recall its definition

$$W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^{\lambda}_{\mu} W_{\nu} + \delta^{\lambda}_{\nu} W_{\mu} - g_{\mu\nu} W^{\lambda}),$$

where W_μ is the Weyl vector. A direct computation shows that

$$g_{\lambda\alpha} W^{\lambda}_{\mu\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\alpha\mu} W_{\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta} W_{\mu} - g_{\mu\beta} W_{\alpha}) \tag{A1}$$

and then

$$g_{\lambda\alpha} W^{\lambda}_{\mu\beta} + g_{\lambda\beta} W^{\lambda}_{\mu\alpha} = g_{\alpha\beta} W_{\mu}. \tag{A2}$$

We also have the two useful following identities

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\mu\nu} W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} &= -W^{\lambda} && \text{(A3)} \\ W^{\nu}_{\mu\nu} &= 2W_{\mu} && \text{(A4)} \end{aligned}$$

Appendix B: Intrinsic geometrical tensors in a Weyl space

In the same way as in a Riemann space, we can define some intrinsic geometrical tensors in Weyl space. We put an index "$\sim$" to distinguish the Weylian objects. We are looking for intrinsic tensors in a Weyl space, that means tensors with null conformal weight, ie. which are invariant under gauge-Weyl transformations (2).

1. The Weylian Riemann tensor

The curvature tensor determines completely the geometry and is defined like in Riemann space but using the Weyl connection instead of the Christoffel one:

$$\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} = \tilde{\nabla}_{\kappa}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\nu}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu\kappa} + \tilde{\Gamma}^{\tau}_{\mu\nu}\,\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\kappa\tau} - \tilde{\Gamma}^{\tau}_{\mu\kappa}\,\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\nu\tau}. \tag{B1}$$

This tensor is invariant under gauge-Weyl transformations (ie. $w(\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa}) = 0$) since the Weyl connection is, see (6). This tensor is then intrinsic in Weyl space. Now, using (3), the Weylian Riemann tensor above can be related to its Riemannian counterpart by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} = R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} &- \tilde{\nabla}_{\kappa}W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\nabla}_{\nu}W^{\lambda}_{\mu\kappa} \\ &- W^{\tau}_{\mu\nu}W^{\lambda}_{\kappa\tau} + W^{\tau}_{\mu\kappa}W^{\lambda}_{\nu\tau}\end{aligned} \tag{B2}$$

We can rewrite the above relation making use of the usual Riemannian covariant derivation ∇ associated to the Christoffel connection Γ (4)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} = R^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\kappa} &- \nabla_{\kappa}W^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{\nu}W^{\lambda}_{\mu\kappa} \\ &+ W^{\tau}_{\mu\nu}W^{\lambda}_{\kappa\tau} - W^{\tau}_{\mu\kappa}W^{\lambda}_{\nu\tau}\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

Note the change in signs.

2. The Weylian Ricci tensors

The curvature tensor in Weyl space possesses fewer algebraic symmetries than its Riemannian counterpart, as a consequence there are two possible contractions. The first contraction gives the anti-symmeytric intrinsic geometrical tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W}_{\nu\kappa} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\lambda\nu\kappa} &= \nabla_{\nu}W_{\kappa} - \nabla_{\kappa}W_{\nu} \\ &= \partial_{\nu}W_{\kappa} - \partial_{\kappa}W_{\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{B4}$$

where the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is conventional. This tensor can be seen as the Faraday tensor for the Weyl vector (see the remark at the end of section II C). Note that this tensor vanishes identically in a Riemann space.

The second possible contraction is the Weylian Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}_{\mu\kappa} = \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda\kappa}$. This intrinsic geometrical tensor is not symmetric and can be redefined without any loss under the symmetric form $\tilde{R}_{\mu\kappa} := \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda\kappa} - W_{\mu\kappa}$ which reads

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{\mu\kappa} &= \tilde{R}^{\lambda}_{\mu\lambda\kappa} - W_{\mu\kappa} \\ &= R_{\mu\kappa} + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(W^2 g_{\mu\kappa} - W_{\mu}W_{\kappa}\right) \\ &\quad -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{\mu}W_{\kappa} + \nabla_{\kappa}W_{\mu} + g_{\mu\kappa}\nabla W\right)\end{aligned} \tag{B5}$$

where $R_{\mu\kappa}$ is the standard Riemannian Ricci tensor.

Now, let us analyse the tensors produced by a second contraction of the Weylian Riemann tensor (B3). First, contracting the antisymmetric tensor (B4) gives a vanishing scalar tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{W} &= g^{\mu\nu}\ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu} = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

What about the analog of the Ricci scalar tensor $R = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}$ but in a Weyl space? This can be obtained by contracting the Weylian Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ but an ambiguity then appears. Indeed, if we perform this contraction using $g_{\mu\nu}$, the resulting scalar tensor reads

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(g) &= g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= R - 3(\nabla W - \frac{1}{2}W^2)\end{aligned} \tag{B7}$$

But in Weyl space, this quantity has no intrinsic meaning since we can equally use $\bar{g} = \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ to contract $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ but the result is different since

$$\tilde{R}(\bar{g}) = \Omega^{-2}\tilde{R}(g). \tag{B8}$$

Then $\tilde{R}$ is not an intrinsic tensor in a Weyl space.

3. The Weylian Schouten and Eastwood-Singer tensors

In place of the Ricci scalar which is not intrinsic in a Weyl space, we can use the tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}g_{\mu\nu} &= g^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{R}_{\alpha\beta}g_{\mu\nu} \\ &= [R - 3(\nabla W - \frac{1}{2}W^2)]g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned} \tag{B9}$$

which is well defined and intrinsic in a Weyl space. We can relate this tensor to another intrinsic tensor, the Weylian equivalent of the so-called Schouten tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{P}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{R}g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= P_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\Big(W_\mu W_\nu - \frac{1}{2}W^2 g_{\mu\nu} \\ &\qquad + \nabla_\mu W_\nu + \nabla_\nu W_\mu\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{B10}$$

where $P_{\mu\nu}$ is the usual Riemannian Schouten tensor.

We can define another Weyl intrinsic tensor but not independent from the $\tilde{W}$, $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{P}$ tensors. The Weylian version of the Eastwood-Singer tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}_{\mu\nu} &= -2(\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{R}g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= -4(\tilde{P}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\tilde{P}}{2}g_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= S_{\mu\nu} + W_\mu W_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\nabla.W \\ &\qquad + \nabla_\mu W_\nu + \nabla_\nu W_\mu.\end{aligned} \tag{B11}$$

The Riemannian tensor $S_{\mu\nu} = -2(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\mu\nu})$ was introduced by M. Eastwwod and M. Singer and used to define a conformally invariant gauge for the Maxwell field [13].

So we have three independent second order tensors that we can chose among the $\tilde{W}, \tilde{R}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{S}$ tensors and then use them to construct conformally invariant operators in a Weyl space.

[1] H.A. Kastrup, "On the advancement of Conformal Transformations and Their Associated Symmetries in Geometry and theoretical Physics", AnnalenPhys. **17**, 631 (2008).
[2] E. Cunningham, Proc. Math. Soc. London **8**, 77 (1909).
[3] H. Bateman, Proc. Math. Soc. London **8**, 223 (1910)
[4] S. Weinberg, "Six-dimenstional methods for four-dimensional conformal field theories", Phys. Rev. D **82**, 045031 (2010).
[5] I. Antoniadis and G. Savvidy, "Conformal invariance of tensor bosons tree amplitudes," arXiv:1107.4997 [hep-th].
[6] S. El-Showk, Y. Nakayama and S. Rychkov,"What Maxwell Theory in D≠4 teaches us about scale and conformal invariance," Nucl. Phys. B **848** (2011) 578 [arXiv:1101.5385 [hep-th]].
[7] S. Faci, E. Huguet, J. Queva, and J. Renaud, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 124005 (2009).
[8] S. Faci, E. Huguet, and J. Renaud, "Conformal use of Green's functions for the Maxwell field in de Sitter space" (in preparation).
[9] S. Faci, E. Huguet, and J. Renaud, "SO(2, 4)-covariant quantum Maxwell field on conformally flat spaces", (in preparation).
[10] T. Fulton, F. Rohrlich and L. Witten, "Conformal invariance in physics," Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 442 (1962).
[11] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** 231 (1998).
[12] B. Zumino, "Effective Lagrangians and Broken Symmetries" (1963).
[13] M. Eastwood and M. Singer, "A conformally invariant Maxwell gauge", Phys. Lett. **107A**, 73 (1985).
[14] A. Iorio, L. O'Raifeartaigh, I. Sachs and C. Wiesendanger, "Weyl gauging and conformal invariance," Nucl. Phys. B **495** (1997) 433 [arXiv:hep-th/9607110].
[15] C. Fefferman and C. R. Grahm, "The ambiant metric", arXiv:0710.0919v2 (2008).
[16] N. Boulanger, "A Weyl-covariant tensor calculus," J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 053508 [arXiv:hep-th/0412314].
[17] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan and R. Mkrtchyan, Phys. Lett. B **657** (2007) 112 [arXiv:0707.1737 [hep-th]].
[18] R. Manvelyan and D. H. Tchrakian, Phys. Lett. B **644** (2007) 370
[19] J. Erdmenger, "Conformally covariant differential operators: Properties and applications," Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 2061 [arXiv:hep-th/9704108].
[20] A. R. Gover, A. Shaukat and A. Waldron, "Tractors, Mass and Weyl Invariance," Nucl. Phys. B **812** (2009) 424 [arXiv:0810.2867 [hep-th]].
[21] A. R. Gover, A. Shaukat and A. Waldron, "Weyl Invariance and the Origins of Mass," Phys. Lett. B **675** (2009) 93 [arXiv:0812.3364 [hep-th]].
[22] G. B. Folland, "Weyl manifolds", J. of differential geometry, **4** (1970).
[23] D. K. Sen and J. R. Vanstone, "On Weyl and Lyra Manifolds", J. Math. Phys., Vol. **13**, No. 7 (1972).
[24] S. Deser and R. Nepomechies, "Gauge invariance versus masslessness in de Sitter spaces", Ann. Phys. **154** (1984).
[25] A. Afriat and E. Caccese, "the relativity of inertia and reality of nothing", arXiv:0804.3146v5, (2009).
[26] E. Scholz, Wuppertal, "The changing of matter in H. Weyl's thought, 1918-1930", arXiv:math/0409576v1.
[27] M. Novello, J. M. Salim and F. T. Falciano, "On a Geometrical Description of Quantum Mechanics," Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **8** (2011) 87.
[28] F. T. Falciano, M. Novello and J. M. Salim, "Geometrizing relativistic quantum mechanics", Found. Phys. **40** (2010).
[29] R. Carroll, "Remarks on gravity and quantum geometry", arXiv:1007.4744v2.
[30] D. Hocheberg and Güunter Plunien, "Theory of matter in Weyl spacetime", Phys. Rev. D **43**, 10 (1991).